

SUPERALGEBRAIC SOLUTION TO THE MEAN-FIELD HUBBARD MODEL

Arianna Montorsi,

Mario Rasetti

and

Allan I Solomon

Dipartimento di Fisica del Politecnico, 10129 Torino, ITALY
and

Faculty of Mathematics, The Open University,
Milton Keynes, UK

SUPERALGEBRAIC SOLUTION TO THE MEAN-FIELD HUBBARD MODEL

Arianna Montorsi,

Mario Rasetti

and

Allan I Solomon

Dipartimento di Fisica del Politecnico, 10129 Torino, ITALY
and

Faculty of Mathematics, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK.

ABSTRACT

We show that a mean-field Hubbard hamiltonian possesses a dynamical superalgebra $u(1|1) \oplus u(1|1)$, enabling diagonalization to be achieved and the obtention of a nonvanishing magnetic order parameter.

A classic lattice problem, recently revived as a possible paradigm for high T_c superconductors, is the Hubbard model [1]. We shall consider the following Hubbard hamiltonian (in any number of dimensions)

$$H^{\text{Hub}} = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} u n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{ij} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^+ a_{j\sigma} \quad (1)$$

In the above, the number operator $n_{i\sigma}$ for electrons on the lattice site i with spin σ is defined by

$$n_{i\sigma} = a_{i\sigma}^+ a_{i\sigma}$$

where the creation (annihilation) operators $a_{i\sigma}^+$ ($a_{i\sigma}$) obey the usual anti-commutation relations for fermions. The sums are taken over site indices i, j - it being assumed that only nearest neighbours contribute to the hopping-term $t_{ij} = t$ - and spin $\sigma \equiv \uparrow$ or \downarrow .

The problem is trivially solvable either when $t_{ij} = 0$, so that H^{Hub} is already diagonal in the number operator, or when $u = 0$, for which the hamiltonian becomes $\sum_k \epsilon_k n_{k\sigma}$ ($\epsilon_k \equiv \epsilon + t \cos k$) on taking the momentum representation. However, no exact solution exists for lattice dimension greater than one when both u and t are non-zero.

In this note we make a fermionic mean-field approximation (which we shall define) to H^{Hub} for which the dynamical algebra is a superalgebra, thus enabling a solution of the approximate model to be given involving non-zero parameters ϵ , u and t .

Consider first the identity for the hopping term:

$$a_i^+ a_j \equiv (a_i^+ - \langle a_i^+ \rangle) (a_j - \langle a_j \rangle) + a_i^+ \langle a_j \rangle + \langle a_i^+ \rangle a_j - \langle a_i^+ \rangle \langle a_j \rangle \quad (2)$$

In (2), we may consider $\langle \rangle$ to be the expectation in some (thermodynamic) state. Now let us restrict ourselves to states such that the first term on the right-hand side of (2) is "negligible" in some sense. We may then approximate

$$a_i^+ a_j \sim a_i^+ \langle a_j \rangle + \langle a_i^+ \rangle a_j - \langle a_i^+ \rangle \langle a_j \rangle \quad (3)$$

[In (2) and (3) we have suppressed the spin index.] Note that this expression, in which necessarily $i \neq j$ so that a_i^+ and a_j anti-commute, is only consistent if the objects $\langle a_i \rangle$, $\langle a_i^+ \rangle$ anti-commute with each other and with the operators a_i^+ , a_j . This may be achieved by defining a basis $\{e_i, e_j^*\}$ $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ for a 2^{2N} -dimensional Clifford algebra

$$\{e_i, e_j\} = \{e_i^*, e_j^*\} = 2\delta_{ij},$$

$$\{e_i, e_j^*\} = 0.$$

Using the fermionic mean field approximation (3) we may approximate the hamiltonian (1) by

$$H^{\text{MF}} = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{i\uparrow} u n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{i\sigma} (\theta_{i\sigma} a_{i\sigma} + a_{i\sigma}^+ \theta_{i\sigma}^*) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\theta_{i\sigma} \equiv \sum_j t_{ji} e_j^*$$

Thus we have written H^{Mf} as a direct sum, $H^{Mf} \equiv \sum_i H_i$, where H_i is (dropping the suffix i)

$$H = \epsilon (n_+ + n_-) + u n_+ n_- + \theta_+ a_+ + \theta_- a_- + a_+^\dagger \theta_+^* + a_-^\dagger \theta_-^*. \quad (5)$$

The dynamical algebra of this model is generated by the set

$$\{n_+ n_-, n_+, n_-, a_+, a_-, a_+^\dagger, a_-^\dagger\}$$

of elements of H . The algebra is generated under the natural (i.e. physical) commutation and anti-commutation relations; it is the superalgebra $u(2|2)$ of the BCS-Umklapp model previously treated by the authors [2], together with the term $n_+ n_-$ characteristic of the Hubbard model. Assuming $\uparrow\downarrow$ symmetry in our model ($\epsilon_+ = \epsilon_- = \epsilon$, $\theta_+ = \theta_- = \theta/\sqrt{2}$) we obtain the Hamiltonian

$H = \epsilon N + \mu W + \theta A + A^\dagger \theta^*$ with smaller dynamical algebra $u(1|1) \oplus u(1|1)$, closing under the 8 elements

$$\{I, A, A^\dagger, B, B^\dagger, N, W, U\}$$

where $A \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_+ + a_-)$, $B \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (n_+ a_- + n_- a_+)$, $N = n_+ + n_-$, $W = n_+ n_-$,

and $U = \frac{1}{2} (n_+ + n_- - a_+^\dagger a_- - a_-^\dagger a_+)$.

Defining $Z^{(1)} = \lambda A + \mu B + \text{h.c.}$, where λ, μ are anti-commuting elements of the Clifford Algebra, we find that

$$\exp(i \text{ad } Z^{(1)})(H) = \epsilon N + \mu W + C \theta \theta^* U + D \theta \theta^*$$

where we have chosen $\lambda = i\theta/\epsilon$ $\mu = -iu\theta/\epsilon(u + \epsilon)$

with $D(\epsilon, u) = -\epsilon^{-1}$

and $C(\epsilon, u) = u/\epsilon(u + \epsilon)$.

Although H has thus been expressed in terms of mutually commuting elements (of a Cartan basis), these operators are not diagonal in Fock space. This is easily remedied by application of an outer automorphism

$Z^{(2)} = \exp \left(\text{ad } \frac{\pi}{4} \right) (a_+^\dagger a_- - a_-^\dagger a_+)$. The resulting diagonal hamiltonian is

$$H = \varepsilon (n_+ + n_-) + u n_+ n_- + C \theta \theta^* n_\pm + D \theta \bar{\theta} I$$

(where the n_\pm spontaneously generated term arises from ambiguity in the rotation $Z^{(2)}$). The coefficient $\theta \theta^*$ of this term plays the role of a magnetic order parameter; it has been evaluated self-consistently [3] and exhibits typical mean-field behaviour. This simple model does not exhibit pairing superconductivity, in that $\langle a_+ a_- \rangle = 0$.

References

- [1] Hubbard, J., Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 227, 237 (1964).
- [2] Montorsi, A., Rasetti, M., and Solomon, A. I., Phys Rev. Lett. 59, 2243 (1988).
- [3] Montorsi, A., Rasetti, M., and Solomon, A. I., (to be published).